"General Conference Supports EQUALITY ACT. Probation Closed 1982 EUCHARIST god. SDA Church Hijacked" posted 12/17/19 by Amazing Word Ministries with Emmanuel Nougaisse speaking.

This sermon of Emmanuel Nougaisse is on the infiltration of homosexual sentiments into the Seventh-day Adventist Church. Around 23:04 he discusses and then posts parts of a video taken at the Green Lake S.D.A. Church in Seattle, WA with senior pastor John T. McLarty speaking. What that man said is shocking.

"If we are going to be faithful to God there are times when we must push back against the ancient words."

Faithfulness to God does not always mean obedience to the ancient words."

On the church web site it says it's "safe territory for all people" and promotes the "theology of Love." The wording used is similar to that of the gay movement and implies if they and their practices aren't accepted, they're not safe and not loved. I can't imagine a genuine Seventh-day Adventist that wouldn't treat a gay person kindly and with love. That in no way means their practices should be accepted nor does it mean they should be accepted as church members. There is also nothing in the real Bible that indicates their practices will be accepted and the real Protestant Bible teaches that they'll have their part in the lake of fire unless they repent. What is more loving; to warn them or to accept them with no warning?

I hope it's not necessary to explain the gross error in the above two statements. John McLarty says sometimes "we must push back against the ancient words" and that "faithfulness to God" doesn't always mean obedience to "the ancient words." Since all the words in the Bible are "ancient words" I guess that would mean they're all up for debate and potential rejection. How do we decide which ancient words to accept and which ancient words to reject? Well, John McLarty has an answer for that in a sermon he gave on 7/25/19 I found on the Green Lake S.D.A. Church web site. The sermon is titled, "The Best Translation." Here follow a couple of quotations from that sermon:

"The best translation is the one you read and I don't care which one it is."

"The work of the church is to act as translators for God."

Where have I heard that before? There is a Bible passage that says in the King James Bible:

"All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness. That the man of God may be perfect, throughly furnished unto all good works." 2 Timothy 3:16-17.

There is a footnote in my Douay Rheims Bible (a bible as Catholic as the pope) for that verse that says:

"All scripture...Every part of divine scripture is certainly profitable for all these ends. But, if we would have the whole rule of Christian faith and practice, we must not be content with those Scriptures, which Timothy knew from his infancy, that is, with the Old Testament alone: nor yet with the New Testament, without taking along with it the traditions of the apostles, and the interpretation of the church, to which the apostles delivered both the book and the true meaning of it."

In other words, the church is supposed to decide what the Bible says. It is much easier for a church to get people to let them decide what the Bible says if there are a bunch of different bibles with conflicting statements.

If I lived in Seattle, I would not attend that church, even if it was the last Seventh-day Adventist Church around, any sooner than I would start to attend mass. I truly pity the people that go there.

SatanIsDead.com InfallibleBible.com AdventistsToday.com SabbathSchoolGuide.com