"Line Upon Line – Ep. 13" was posted on YouTube by It Is Written on 10/5/20. Pastors John Bradshaw (Speaker/Director of It Is Written) and Eric Flickinger (Associate Speaker of It Is Written) presided. Despite an email I sent to them on 9/8/20 containing a link to one of my reviews of one of their YouTube postings, they are still under the impression that the New King James Version (NKJV) is "the Bible." When they were accepting advertising, one of the ads that came up for me was on the seven sacraments of the Roman Catholic Church. They no longer have ads on their channel but have had porn there without even knowing it. I will not describe what the porn was since I don't wish to pollute your mind. I deleted those posts by reporting them as porn to YouTube. What they apparently are still oblivious to is the fact that those little pictures called "profile pictures" by the names of posters takes you to their channel. On the day this episode was posted, there were two posters with women's names whose profile pictures couldn't exactly be called porn but when you clicked on the picture, it took you to their channel where there were multiple porn offerings. I added a comment warning John and Eric about this overlooked filth.

At 8:40 the question asked by Delia is, "Some of my friends have asked questions about King James and whether we can really trust the Bible since it was brought together by him." John says it's "a really good question." John, apparently not knowing there was the Great Bible, the Geneva Bible and the Bishop's Bible preceding the King James Bible, makes a great leap from Tyndal's Bible to the King James Bible.

John rightly says that King James didn't translate the King James Bible, he merely authorized its translation. It was first known as the Authorized Version and only later became known as the King James Bible and the King James Version. John noted that he saw a fragment of Scripture in a library in Manchester, England said to be from about 125 A.D. Around 10:53 he holds up a NKJV "Holy Bible" and says the fragment reads "pretty much exactly the same as what I hold in my hands right now." It's possible that fragment reads exactly the same as the NKJV since some NKJV verses read exactly the same as in the King James Bible. The verses that read exactly the same in the NKJV as in the King James Bible aren't the problem. The problem lies in the multiple verses that DON'T read the same. If there's just a little error in the NKJV, what could that hurt?

"Error is never harmless. It never sanctifies, but always brings confusion and dissension. It is always dangerous." *Testimonies for the Church* volume 5 page 292.

John goes on to say, "There are so many old ancient manuscripts of the Bible extant, that modern translations, I say well the King James; today's translations, can be compared to the old translations so we know that what we're reading today hasn't got way away from the Bible." He says the King James Bible "is a good solid accurate translation" and that "I'm not trying to tell you that it is perfect." He goes on to say regarding the King James Bible, "But when it comes to Bibles translations this one's about as close to perfect as any other bible you are going to find. You can trust a good translation of the Bible today. It's God's book, he gave it and He has preserved it. He has preserved it down through the ages."

In case you missed the weasel words, notice John said regarding the King James Bible, "this one's about as close to perfect as any other bible." That means John doesn't think ANY bible is perfect; i.e., infallible.

"He who has a knowledge of God and His word through personal experience has a settled faith in the divinity of the Holy Scriptures. He has proved that Gods word is truth, and he knows that truth can never contradict itself." *The Ministry of Healing* page 462.

"It is a terrible thing to lose faith in God or in his word." *Spirit of Prophecy* volume 4 page 349.

"Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible." *Selected Messages Book One* page 416.

If you believe "divinity" or the "Holy Scriptures" have defects, you are on dangerous ground.

At 21:05 a question from Gordon is discussed. The question is, "John 3:13 says, 'No man hath ascended up to heaven, but He that came down from heaven, even the Son of Man which is in heaven. Does this mean that Jesus was in heaven at the same time as He was on earth?" John says, "Yea, Jesus is telling us here no human being has been up to heaven." John has stepped into boggy ground because if Jesus is saying that, either Enoch, Moses and Elijah never went to heaven or Jesus forgot about them. John then says "The only person who has been to heaven and come down from heaven is Jesus. That's what He's talking about."

He claims that Moses and Elijah were "very different circumstances." Really? The verse says "No man hath ascended up to heaven." How are Moses and Elijah "very different circumstances?" John also alleges that the verse doesn't mean Jesus was in heaven and on earth at the same time when that is exactly what the verse says in ENGLISH. Rather than make things up, it would be well to come up with a coherent answer or not try to answer the question.

Nicodemus was a Pharisee, a member of the Sanhedrin and was well versed in the Holy Scriptures. Both Jesus and Nicodemus would have known Enoch, Moses and Elijah were in heaven so what Jesus said was obviously meant in a spiritual way; similar to the you must be "born again" said by Jesus earlier in the same chapter. If Nicodemus hadn't understood the meaning, it's certain he would have questioned Jesus about it.

"By His humanity, Christ touched humanity; by His divinity, He lays hold upon the throne of God." *The Desire of Ages* page 24.

Was Jesus by His divinity laying hold on the throne of God when he spoke to Nicodemus? I believe He was. Did Enoch, Moses or Elijah lay hold on the throne of God by their divinity? I think not.

SatanIsDead.com InfallibleBible.com AdventistsToday.com SabbathSchoolGuide.com